1989 JAN 24 AM 9: 03

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES

| I certify that the attached is a true an |
|--|
| correct copy of All which                |
| was filed of record on NOV 1 4 1988      |
| and referred to the committee on:        |
| State Affairs                            |

Restly Microson

Chief Clerk of the House

FILED NOV 14 1998

By Williamson

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

HJ.R. NO. 6

### A JOINT RESOLUTION

ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York, New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:

"RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:

"[An ARTICLE] in addition to, and Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress, and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to the fifth Article of the original Constitution.

"Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take effect, until an election of Representatives shall have intervened."

SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas

```
acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to
      United States Constitution has already been ratified by
                                                                      the
      legislatures of the following states on the dates indicated,
 3
                                                                       to
 4
      wit:
            Maryland on December 19, 1789;
 5
            North Carolina on December 22, 1789;
 6
 7
            South Carolina on January 19, 1790;
 8
            Delaware on January 28, 1790;
 9
            Vermont on November 3, 1791;
10
            Virginia on December 15, 1791;
            Ohio on May 6, 1873 [70 Ohio Laws 409-10];
11
            Wyoming on March 3, 1978 [124 Cong. Rec. 7910; 133 Cong. Rec.
12
13
      S12949];
14
            Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017];
            Colorado on April 18, 1984 [131 Cong. Rec. S17687; 132 Cong.
15
16
      Rec. H6446];
17
            South Dakota on February 21,
                                           1985 [131 Cong. Rec.
18
      S3306];
            New Hampshire on March 7, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H1378, S3597];
19
            Arizona on April 3, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H2060, S4750];
20
            Tennessee on May 23, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H6672, S10797,
21
22
      S13504];
23
            Oklahoma on July 10, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H7263, S13504];
           New Mexico on February 13, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H827,
24
25
      S2207-8, S23001;
            Indiana on February 19, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H1634, S4663];
26
           Utah on February 25, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. S6750, S7578;
27
```

the

1

```
1
       Cong. Rec. H98661;
            Arkansas on March 5, 1987 [134 Cong. Rec. H3721, S7518];
  2
            Montana on March 11, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H1715, S6155];
  3
            Connecticut on May 13, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S11891];
            Wisconsin on June 30, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S12948,
  5
  6
      S13359];
            Georgia on February 2, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2638, S5239];
  7
            West Virginia on March 10, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2492,
 8
 9
      S4784]; and
            Louisiana on July 6, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H5783, S9939].
10
            SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas
11
      acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the
12
      Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the
13
      House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January
14
           1987; the Senate of the State of Idaho on March 2, 1987; the
15
      House of Representatives of the State of Texas on April 23, 1987;
16
      and the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June
17
18
      22, 1988.
19
                       The Legislature of the State of Texas further
            SECTION 4.
      acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
20
     United States Constitution may still be ratified by the state
21
      legislatures as a result of the ruling by the United States Supreme
22
     Court in the landmark case of Coleman v. Miller [307 U.S. 433
23
      (1939)] in which it was opined that Congress is the final arbiter
24
     on the question of whether too much time has elapsed between the
25
     original submission of a particular amendment proposed by the
26
```

27

Congress and the most recent state legislature's ratification of

- same if the Congress, in proposing the amendment, did not specify a deadline on the amendment's consideration.
- 3 SECTION 5. The Secretary of State of the State of Texas
- 4 shall notify the Archivist of the United States (pursuant to 1
- 5 U.S.C. 106b and 112; as amended by Public Law No. 98-497 [98 Stat.
- 6 2291]) of the action of the 71st Legislature of the State of Texas,
- Regular Session, 1989, by sending to him a copy of this resolution.
- 8 SECTION 6. The Secretary of State of the State of Texas
- 9 shall also send copies of this resolution to both United States
- 10 Senators from Texas, to all United States Representatives from
- 11 Texas, to the Vice-President of the United States, and to the
- 12 Speaker of the United States House of Representatives with the
- request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.
- 14 SECTION 7. The Secretary of State of the State of Texas
- shall also send copies of this resolution to the presiding officers
- of the legislatures of those states that have not as yet ratified
- 17 the proposed amendment to the United States Constitution.

Culberson Yost

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

By Williamson

HJ.R. No. 6

### A JOINT RESOLUTION

1 ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United

States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States

3 Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York,

New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:

"RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to

all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:

"[An ARTICLE] in addition to, and Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress, and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to

the fifth Article of the original Constitution.

"Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take effect, until an election of Representatives shall have intervened."

24 SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas

# HOUSE COMMITTEE REPORT

### 1st Printing

By Williamson, et al.

H.J.R. No. 6

#### A JOINT RESOLUTION

- 1 ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United 2 States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States
- 3 Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.
- 4 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant 6 to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by 7 8 resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York,
- 9 New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:
- "RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the 11 United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both
- Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the 12
- 13 Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when
- ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to 14
- 15 all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:
- 16 "[An ARTICLE in addition to, and Amendment of the
- Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress, 17
- 18 and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to
- 19 the fifth Article of the original Constitution.
- 20 "Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation
- for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take 21
- 22 effect, until an election of Representatives shall
- 23 intervened."

10

24 SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas

```
acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
```

- 2 United States Constitution has already been ratified by the
- 3 legislatures of the following states on the dates indicated, to
- 4 wit:
- 5 Maryland on December 19, 1789;
- 6 North Carolina on December 22, 1789;
- 7 South Carolina on January 19, 1790;
- B Delaware on January 28, 1790;
- 9 Vermont on November 3, 1791;
- Virginia on December 15, 1791;
- Ohio on May 6, 1873 [70 Ohio Laws 409-10];
- 12 Wyoming on March 3, 1978 [124 Cong. Rec. 7910; 133 Cong. Rec.
- 13 S12949];
- Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017];
- 15 Colorado on April 18, 1984 [131 Cong. Rec. S17687; 132 Cong.
- 16 Rec. H6446];
- 17 South Dakota on February 21, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H971,
- 18 S3306];
- New Hampshire on March 7, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H1378, S3597];
- 20 Arizona on April 3, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H2060, S4750];
- 21 Tennessee on May 23, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H6672, S10797,
- 22 S13504];
- Oklahoma on July 10, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H7263, S13504];
- 24 New Mexico on February 13, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H827,
- 25 S2207-8, S2300];
- 26 Indiana on February 19, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H1634, S4663];
- 27 Utah on February 25, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. S6750, S7578; 133

```
2
           Arkansas on March 5, 1987 [134 Cong. Rec. H3721, S7518];
           Montana on March 11, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H1715, S6155];
3
           Connecticut on May 13, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S11891];
 4
5
           Wisconsin on June 30, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406,
6
     S13359];
7
           Georgia on February 2, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2638, S5239];
           West Virginia on March 10, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2492,
8
9
     S4784]; and
           Louisiana on July 6, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H5783, S9939].
10
11
           SECTION 3.
                       The Legislature of the State of Texas further
12
     acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the
13
     Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the
     House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January
14
15
     26, 1987; the Senate of the State of Idaho on March 2, 1987; the
16
     House of Representatives of the State of Texas on April 23,
17
     and the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June
     22, 1988.
18
19
           SECTION 4. The Legislature of the State of Texas
20
     acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
21
     United States Constitution may still be ratified by the state
22
     legislatures as a result of the ruling by the United States Supreme
23
     Court in the landmark case of Coleman v. Miller [307 U.S. 433
     (1939)] in which it was opined that Congress is the final arbiter
24
25
     on the question of whether too much time has elapsed between the
26
     original submission of a particular amendment proposed by the
27
     Congress and the most recent state legislature's ratification of
```

Cong. Rec. H9866];

1

H.J.R. No. 6

- same if the Congress, in proposing the amendment, did not specify a
- 2 deadline on the amendment's consideration.
- 3 SECTION 5. The Secretary of State of the State of Texas
- 4 shall notify the Archivist of the United States (pursuant to 1
- 5 U.S.C. 106b and 112; as amended by Public Law No. 98-497 [98 Stat.
- 6 2291]) of the action of the 71st Legislature of the State of Texas,
- Regular Session, 1989, by sending to him a copy of this resolution.
- 8 SECTION 6. The Secretary of State of the State of Texas
- 9 shall also send copies of this resolution to both United States
- 10 Senators from Texas, to all United States Representatives from
- 11 Texas, to the Vice-President of the United States, and to the
- 12 Speaker of the United States House of Representatives with the
- request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.
- SECTION 7. The Secretary of State of the State of Texas
- shall also send copies of this resolution to the presiding officers
- of the legislatures of those states that have not as yet ratified
- 17 the proposed amendment to the United States Constitution.

### **COMMITTEE REPORT**

| Γhe  | Hon  | oral | ble | Gib | Lev | wis | S                 |  |
|------|------|------|-----|-----|-----|-----|-------------------|--|
| Spea | aker | of ' | the | Hou | ıse | of  | f Representatives |  |

| 3/21/89 |  |
|---------|--|
| (date)  |  |

| Sir:  |                           |                       |   |                        |
|---|---------------------------|-----------------------|---|------------------------|
| We, your COMMITTEE ON ST  |                           |                       |   |                        |
| to whom was referred  | (measure)                 | have had the same u   | inder consideration                     | and beg to report      |
| back with the recommendation  | that it                   |                       |   |                        |
| <ul> <li>do pass, without amendment(</li> <li>do pass, with amendment(</li> <li>do pass and be not printed</li> </ul> | s).                       | e Substitute is recom | nmended in lieu of                      | the original measure.  |
| A fiscal note was requested. (  | vyes ()no                 | An actuaria           | l analysis was req                      | uested. ( ) yes (V) no |
| An author's fiscal statement w  | vas requested. ( ) yes    | (V) no                |   |                        |
| A criminal justice policy impac   |                           | _                     |   |                        |
| A water development policy in   |                           |                       | no                                      |                        |
| ( ) The Committee recommen  |                           |                       |   | Consent Calendars for  |
| placement on the ( ) Loca   | I, ( ) Consent, or ( ) Re | solutions Calendar.   |   |                        |
| This measure ( ) proposes ne  | w law. ( ) amends (       | existing law.         |   |                        |
| House Sponsor of Senate Me  | asure                     |                       |   |                        |
| The measure was reported from   |                           | ollowing vote:        |   |                        |
|   | -                         | NAY                   | PNV                                     | ABSENT                 |
| Langy Ch  | AYE                       | NAT                   | FINV                                    | ABSENT                 |
| Laney, Ch.  | X                         |                       |   |                        |
| Guerrero, V.C. Tallas, C.B.O.   | Y                         |                       |   |                        |
| Cain  | <u> </u>                  |                       |   | Y                      |
| Gibson  | X                         |                       |   |                        |
| Harrison  | Y                         |                       |   |                        |
| Hilbert   | Y                         |                       |   | ,                      |
| Hury  |                           |                       |   | X                      |
| Jones   | X                         |                       |   |                        |
| Oakley  | X                         |                       |   |                        |
| Perez   | X                         |                       |   |                        |
| Saunders  | X                         |                       |   |                        |
| Smith, T.   | X                         |                       |   |                        |
|   |                           |                       |   |                        |
|   |                           |                       | <u> </u>                                |                        |
| Totalaye  |                           | CHAIRMAN              | loney                                   |                        |
| <u>.</u>  | not voting                | Nebou                 | , ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | ill                    |
| absent  | COMMITTEE C               | OORDINATOR            |   |                        |

By: Williamson

#### BILL ANALYSIS

### Background

The U.S. Congress receives automatic pay raises which take effect unless both the U.S. Senate and House of Representatives specifically vote to decline the increase.

#### Purpose

To ratify a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States concerning pay raises for U.S. Congress.

### Synopsis

H.J.R. 6 would ratify an amendment to the U.S. Constitution which stipulates that when a pay raise is approved, it shall be delayed until after a general election in an even-numbered year has intervened. Although this amendment is nearly 200 years old, it may still be ratified due to the absence of a ratification deadline. To pass, it must be ratified by three-fourths of the state legislatures, of which nineteen have ratified to this date. The Secretary of State would be authorized to notify the Archivist of the United States by sending him a copy of the resolution, as well as to both U.S. Senators from Texas, the 27 Representatives from Texas, the Vice-President, and the Speaker of the U.S. House with a request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.

### Rulemaking Authority

It is the opinion of the committee that this resolution delegates no rulemaking authority to any state agency, officer, department, or institution.

### Summary of Committee Action

H.J.R. 6 was considered in a public hearing, pursuant to a notice posted in accordance with the rules of the House, on March 6, 1989. At that time the committee unanimously agreed to hear the testimony of Mr. Gregory Watson, an employee of the House, in favor of the measure. The bill was left pending.

The committee again took up H.J.R. 6 on March 21 in a formal meeting pursuant to an announcement from the floor of the House. At that time the committee voted to report H.J.R. 6 to the full House, without amendment and with the recommendation it do pass by a record vote of 11 ayes, no nays, and 2 members absent.

### LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

# FISCAL NOTE February 27, 1989

TO: Honorable James E. "Pete" Laney, Chair

Committee on State Affairs

House of Representatives

Austin, Texas

In Re: House Joint

Resolution No. 6

By: Williamson

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Joint Resolution No. 6 (ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect) is office has determined the following:

The resolution would ratify a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: Secretary of State;

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, KVO, PA

### ADOPTED

APR 4 1989

Beaty Musey
Chief Clerk
House of Representatives

AMENDMENT NO.

2

6



1 Amend H.J.R. No. 6 as follows:

- 1. On page 3, line 9, remove the word "and".
- 3 2. On page 3, line 10, remove the period at the end of the line and substitute a semi-colon.
- 5 3. On page 3, insert in between the existing lines 10 and 11 the following:
  - "Iowa on February 7, 1989; and
- 7 | Idaho on March 23, 1989."
- 4. On page 3, strike lines 15 through 18 in their entirety and substitute in
  lieu thereof the following: (( INTR 2 )))
- 10 126, 1987, and again on February 3, 1989; the House of Representatives of the State of Texas on April 23, 1987; the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; the House of Representatives of the State of Oregon on March 23, 1989; and the Assembly of the State of Nevada on March 28, 1989.

# HOUSE ENGROSSMENT

HOUSE OF WERNESEMMENTED

By Williamson, et al.

H.J.R. No. 6

### A JOINT RESOLUTION

- ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.
- 4 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York, New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:
- "RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the
  United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both
  Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the
  Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when
  ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to
  all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:
- "[An ARTICLE] in addition to, and Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress, and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to the fifth Article of the original Constitution.
- "Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation
  for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take
  effect, until an election of Representatives shall have
  intervened."
- 24 SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas

```
H.J.R. No. 6
```

```
acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
 1
      United States Constitution has already been ratified by the
 2
      legislatures of the following states on the dates indicated,
 3
 4
      wit:
 5
            Maryland on December 19, 1789;
            North Carolina on December 22, 1789;
 7
            South Carolina on January 19, 1790;
 8
            Delaware on January 28, 1790;
 9
            Vermont on November 3, 1791;
10
            Virginia on December 15, 1791;
11
            Ohio on May 6, 1873 [70 Ohio Laws 409-10];
            Wyoming on March 3, 1978 [124 Cong. Rec. 7910; 133 Cong. Rec.
12
13
      S12949];
14
            Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017];
            Colorado on April 18, 1984 [131 Cong. Rec. S17687; 132 Cong.
15
16
      Rec. H64461;
17
            South Dakota on February 21, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec.
18
      S33061:
19
            New Hampshire on March 7, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H1378, S3597];
20
           Arizona on April 3, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H2060, S4750];
            Tennessee on May 23, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H6672, S10797,
21
22
     S13504];
           Oklahoma on July 10, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H7263, S13504];
23
```

New Mexico on February 13, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H827,

Indiana on February 19, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H1634, S4663];

Utah on February 25, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. S6750, S7578; 133

24

25

26

27

S2207-8, S23001;

```
1
      Cong. Rec. H9866];
            Arkansas on March 5, 1987 [134 Cong. Rec. H3721, S7518];
 2
            Montana on March 11, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H1715, S6155];
 3
            Connecticut on May 13, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S11891];
 4
            Wisconsin on June 30, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S12948,
 5
 6
      S13359];
 7
            Georgia on February 2, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2638, S5239];
            West Virginia on March 10, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2492,
 8
 9
      S4784];
            Louisiana on July 6, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H5783, S9939];
10
11
            Iowa on February 7, 1989; and
12
            Idaho on March 23, 1989.
13
            SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further
      acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the
14
      Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the
15
      House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January
16
17
            1987,
                   and
                         again on February 3, 1989; the House of
      Representatives of the State of Texas on April 23, 1987; the House
18
      of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; the
19
20
      House of Representatives of the State of Oregon on March 23,
21
      and the Assembly of the State of Nevada on March 28, 1989.
22
            SECTION 4.
                        The Legislature of the State of Texas further
23
      acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
      United States Constitution may still be ratified by the state
24
25
      legislatures as a result of the ruling by the United States Supreme
26
     Court in the landmark case of Coleman v. Miller [307 U.S.
27
      (1939)] in which it was opined that Congress is the final arbiter
```

H.J.R. No. 6

- on the question of whether too much time has elapsed between the
- 2 original submission of a particular amendment proposed by the
- 3 Congress and the most recent state legislature's ratification of
- 4 same if the Congress, in proposing the amendment, did not specify a
- 5 deadline on the amendment's consideration.
- SECTION 5. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 7 shall notify the archivist of the United States (pursuant to 1
- 8 U.S.C. 106b and 112; as amended by Public Law No. 98-497 [98 Stat.
- 9 2291]) of the action of the 71st Legislature of the State of Texas,
- Regular Session, 1989, by sending to him a copy of this resolution.
- 11 SECTION 6. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 12 shall also send copies of this resolution to both United States
- 13 senators from Texas, to all United States representatives from
- 14 Texas, to the vice-president of the United States, and to the
- 15 speaker of the United States House of Representatives with the
- request that it be printed in full in the <a href="Congressional Record">Congressional Record</a>.
- 17 SECTION 7. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- shall also send copies of this resolution to the presiding officers
- of the legislatures of those states that have not as yet ratified
- 20 the proposed amendment to the United States Constitution.

### LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

# FISCAL NOTE February 27, 1989

TO:

Honorable James E. "Pete" Laney, Chair

In Re: House Joint

Committee on State Affairs House of Representatives

Resolution No. 6
By: Williamson

Austin, Texas

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Joint Resolution No. 6 (ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect) is office has determined the following:

The resolution would ratify a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: Secretary of State;

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, KVO, PA

By: Williamson, et al. (Senate Sponsor - Krier) H.J.R. No. 6 (In the Senate - Received from the House April 5, 1989; April 6, 1989, read first time and referred to Committee on State Affairs; May 11, 1989, reported favorably by the following vote: Yeas 11, Nays 0; May 11, 1989, sent to printer.)

#### COMMITTEE VOTE

|            | Yea | Nay | PNV                                    | Absent                                |
|------------|-----|-----|--|---------------------------------------|
| Montford   | х   |     |  |                                       |
| Henderson  | Х   |     |  |                                       |
| Armbrister | х   |     |  |                                       |
| Caperton   | x   |     |  | ·                                     |
| Edwards    | х   |     |  | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · |
| Glasgow    |     |     |  | x                                     |
| Green      | х   |     |  |                                       |
| Harris     |     |     |  | X                                     |
| Leedom     | х   |     |  |                                       |
| Lyon       | х   |     |  |                                       |
| McFarland  | х   |     |  |                                       |
| Parmer     | х   |     | ······································ | ···                                   |
| Washington | х   |     |  |                                       |

#### A JOINT RESOLUTION

ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:

SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York, New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:

"RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:

"[An ARTICLE] in addition to, and Amendment of the Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress, and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to the fifth Article of the original Constitution.

"Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation

"Article the second . . No law, varying the compensation for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take effect, until an election of Representatives shall have intervened."

SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the United States Constitution has already been ratified by the legislatures of the following states on the dates indicated, to wit:

```
Maryland on December 19, 1789;
North Carolina on December 22, 1789;
South Carolina on January 19, 1790;
Delaware on January 28, 1790;
Vermont on November 3, 1791;
Virginia on December 15, 1791;
Ohio on May 6, 1873 [70 Ohio Laws 409-10];
Wyoming on March 3, 1978 [124 Cong. Rec. 7910; 133 Cong. Rec. S12949];
Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017];
```

Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017]; Colorado on April 18, 1984 [131 Cong. Rec. S17687; 132 Cong. Rec. H6446];

South Dakota on February 21, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H971, S3306];

New Hampshire on March 7, 1985 [131 <u>Cong. Rec.</u> H1378, S3597]; Arizona on April 3, 1985 [131 <u>Cong. Rec.</u> H2060, S4750]; Tennessee on May 23, 1985 [131 <u>Cong. Rec.</u> H6672, S10797, S13504];

Oklahoma on July 10, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H7263, S13504];

H.J.R. No. 6 New Mexico on February 13, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H827, S2207-8, S2300]; Indiana on February 19, 1986 [132 <u>Cong. Rec.</u> H1634, S4663]; Utah on February 25, 1986 [132 <u>Cong. Rec.</u> S6750, S7578; 133 Cong. Rec. H9866]; Arkansas on March 5, 1987 [134 Cong. Rec. H3721, S7518]; Montana on March 11, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H1715, S6155]; Connecticut on May 13, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S11891]; Wisconsin on June 30, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S12948, S13359]; Georgia on February 2, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2638, S5239]; West Virginia on March 10, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2492, S4784]; Louisiana on July 6, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H5783, S9939]; Iowa on February 7, 1989; and Idaho on March 23, 1989. SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further 

SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January 26, 1987, and again on February 3, 1989; the House of Representatives of the State of Texas on April 23, 1987; the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; the House of Representatives of the State of Oregon on March 23, 1989; and the Assembly of the State of Nevada on March 28, 1989.

SECTION 4. The Legislature of the State of Texas further

SECTION 4. The Legislature of the State of Texas further acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the United States Constitution may still be ratified by the state legislatures as a result of the ruling by the United States Supreme Court in the landmark case of Coleman v. Miller [307 U.S. 433 (1939)] in which it was opined that Congress is the final arbiter on the question of whether too much time has elapsed between the original submission of a particular amendment proposed by the Congress and the most recent state legislature's ratification of same if the Congress, in proposing the amendment, did not specify a deadline on the amendment's consideration.

SECTION 5. The secretary of state of the State of Texas shall notify the archivist of the United States (pursuant to 1 U.S.C. 106b and 112; as amended by Public Law No. 98-497 [98 Stat. 2291]) of the action of the 71st Legislature of the State of Texas, Regular Session, 1989, by sending to him a copy of this resolution.

SECTION 6. The secretary of state of the State of Texas shall also send copies of this resolution to both United States senators from Texas, to all United States representatives from Texas, to the vice-president of the United States, and to the speaker of the United States House of Representatives with the request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.

request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.

SECTION 7. The secretary of state of the State of Texas shall also send copies of this resolution to the presiding officers of the legislatures of those states that have not as yet ratified the proposed amendment to the United States Constitution.

\* \* \* \* \*

53 Austin, Texas 54 May 11, 1989

Hon. William P. HobbyPresident of the Senate

57 Sir:

We, your Committee on State Affairs to which was referred H.J.R. No. 6, have had the same under consideration, and I am instructed to report it back to the Senate with the recommendation that it do pass and be printed.

Montford, Chairman

# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

### FISCAL NOTE

April 11, 1989

T0:

Honorable John T. Montford, Chairman

Committee on State Affairs

Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

In Re: House Joint

Resolution No. 6,

as engrossed By: Williamson

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Joint Resolution No. 6, as engrossed (ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect) is office has determined the

The resolution would ratify a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: Secretary of State;

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, KVO, CKM

### SENATE FAVORABLE COMMITTEE REPORT

Lt. Governor William P. Hobby President of the Senate

| MAY | 10,    | l<br>1989- | 3:38pm |
|-----|--------|------------|--------|
| 7   | (date) | /(time)    | ,      |

| c.  |   |
|-----|---|
| 110 | • |
| 211 | ٠ |
|     |   |

| We, your Committee on STATE AF       | FAIRS         |                |         |                 | _to which was referred        |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|----------------|---------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| HJR 6 by Will                        | amsor         | ✓ hav          | e on    | 5-10            | $_{1}$ , 19 $89$ had the same |
| (measure)                            | (sponsor)     |                | -       | (hearing date)  |                               |
| under consideration and I am instruc | ted to report | it back with t | he reco | mmendation (s)  | that it                       |
| do pass and be printed               |               |                |         |                 |                               |
| ( ) do pass and be ordered not prin  | ted           |                |         |                 |                               |
| ( ) and is recommended for placem    | ent on the Lo | ocal and Unco  | ntested | Bills Calendar. |                               |
| A fiscal note was requested.         | ( yes         | ( ) no         |         |                 |                               |
| A revised fiscal note was requested. | () yes        | (c) no         |         |                 |                               |
| An actuarial analysis was requested. | () yes        | Who _          |         |                 |                               |
| Considered by subcommittee.          | () yes        | (z) no         |         |                 |                               |
| Senate Sponsor of House Measure      |               |                | KR      | PIER            |                               |

|                          | YEA | NAY | PNV | ABSENT |
|--------------------------|-----|-----|-----|--------|
| Montford, Chairman       | 2   |     |     |        |
| Henderson, Vice Chairman |     |     |     |        |
| Armbrister               |     |     |     |        |
| Caperton                 |     |     |     |        |
| Edwards                  | 2   |     |     |        |
| Glasgow                  |     |     |     |        |
| Green                    |     |     |     |        |
| Harris                   |     |     |     | سن     |
| Leedom                   |     |     |     |        |
| Lyon                     |     |     |     |        |
| McFarland                |     |     |     |        |
| Parmer                   |     |     |     |        |
| Washington               | 2   | _   |     |        |
| TOTAL VOTES              | 11  | 0   | 0   | 2      |

Morris Wilkes COMMITTEE CLERK

The measure was reported from Committee by the following vote:

CHAIRMAN MM Fred

Paper clip the original and one copy of this form to the original bill and retain one copy for your file.

AMEND THE CAPTION TO CONFORM
TO THE BODY OF THE BILL

٤.

ADOPTED

MAY 24 1989

later time

Amend H.J.R. No. 6 as follows:

- $\mathcal{L}$ 1) On page 3, line 11, insert in between "1989" and the semi-colon, the following: "[135 Cong. Rec. H836, S3509-10]".
- $\checkmark$ (2) On page 3, line 11, remove the word "and".
- $\checkmark$ (3) On page 3, line 12, remove the period and substitute in lieu thereof a semi-colon.
- $\checkmark$  (4) On page 3, insert in between the existing lines 12 and 13, the following: \*\* \*\*Nevada on April 26, 1989;

Alaska on May 5, 1989; Oregon on May 19, 1989; and

Minnesota on May 22, 1989.

 $\checkmark$  (5) On page 3, lines 13 through 21, substitute a revised SECTION 3 to read as follows:

SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January 26, 1987, and again on February 3, 1989; the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; and the Senate of the State of Michigan on March 15, 1989.

# SENATE AMENDMENIS REPRESENTATIVES

### 2nd Printing

By Williamson, et al.

H.J.R. No. 6

#### A JOINT RESOLUTION

- ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United

  States relative to the compensation of members of the United States
- 3 Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.
- 4 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant
- 6 to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify
- 7 an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by
- 8 resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York,
- 9 New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:
- "RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the
- 11 United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both
- 12 Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the
- 13 Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when
- 14 ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to
- 15 all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:
- 16 "[An ARTICLE] in addition to, and Amendment of the
- 17 Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress,
- and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to
- 19 the fifth Article of the original Constitution.
- 20 "Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation
- 21 for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take
- 22 effect, until an election of Representatives shall have
- 23 intervened."
- 24 SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas

```
H.J.R. No. 6
```

```
acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
 1
      United States Constitution has already been ratified by
 2
                                                                      the
      legislatures of the following states on the dates indicated,
 3
                                                                       to
 4
      wit:
 5
            Maryland on December 19, 1789;
            North Carolina on December 22, 1789;
 6
 7
            South Carolina on January 19, 1790;
 8
            Delaware on January 28, 1790;
 9
            Vermont on November 3, 1791;
10
            Virginia on December 15, 1791;
            Ohio on May 6, 1873 [70 Ohio Laws 409-10];
11
            Wyoming on March 3, 1978 [124 Cong. Rec. 7910; 133 Cong. Rec.
12
13
      S129491;
            Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017];
14
            Colorado on April 18, 1984 [131 Cong. Rec. S17687; 132 Cong.
15
16
      Rec. H6446];
            South Dakota on February 21, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec.
17
18
      S3306];
            New Hampshire on March 7, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H1378, S3597];
19
20
            Arizona on April 3, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H2060, S4750];
            Tennessee on May 23, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H6672, S10797,
21
      S13504];
22
            Oklahoma on July 10, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H7263, S13504];
23
24
           New Mexico on February 13,
                                          1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H827,
25
      S2207-8, S23001;
            Indiana on February 19, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H1634, S4663];
```

Utah on February 25, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. S6750,

26

27

```
1
     Cong. Rec. H9866];
 2
           Arkansas on March 5, 1987 [134 Cong. Rec. H3721, S7518];
 3
           Montana on March 11, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H1715, S6155];
 4
           Connecticut on May 13, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S11891];
           Wisconsin on June 30, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S12948,
 5
 6
     S13359);
 7
           Georgia on February 2, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2638, S5239];
 8
           West Virginia on March 10,
                                           1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2492,
     S47841;
 9
10
           Louisiana on July 6, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H5783, S9939];
11
           Iowa on February 7, 1989; and
           Idaho on March 23, 1989.
12
13
           SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further
14
     acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the
     Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the
15
16
     House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January
17
           1987,
                   and
                         again
                                 on February 3, 1989; the House
18
     Representatives of the State of Texas on April 23, 1987; the House
19
     of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; the
20
     House of Representatives of the State of Oregon on March 23,
21
     and the Assembly of the State of Nevada on March 28, 1989.
           SECTION 4.
22
                        The Legislature of the State of Texas further
23
     acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to the
24
     United States Constitution may still be ratified by the state
25
     legislatures as a result of the ruling by the United States Supreme
     Court in the landmark case of Coleman v. Miller [307 U.S.
26
27
      (1939)] in which it was opined that Congress is the final arbiter
```

H.J.R. No. 6

- on the question of whether too much time has elapsed between the
- 2 original submission of a particular amendment proposed by the
- 3 Congress and the most recent state legislature's ratification of
- 4 same if the Congress, in proposing the amendment, did not specify a
- 5 deadline on the amendment's consideration.
- 6 SECTION 5. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 7 shall notify the archivist of the United States (pursuant to 1
- 8 U.S.C. 106b and 112; as amended by Public Law No. 98-497 [98 Stat.
- 9 2291]) of the action of the 71st Legislature of the State of Texas,
- 10 Regular Session, 1989, by sending to him a copy of this resolution.
- 11 SECTION 6. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 12 shall also send copies of this resolution to both United States
- 13 senators from Texas, to all United States representatives from
- 14 Texas, to the vice-president of the United States, and to the
- speaker of the United States House of Representatives with the
- request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.
- 17 SECTION 7. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- shall also send copies of this resolution to the presiding officers
- of the legislatures of those states that have not as yet ratified
- 20 the proposed amendment to the United States Constitution.

## ADOPTED

MAY 24 1989

|               | fatighting |
|---------------|------------|
| AMENDMENT No. | By Krie    |
|               |            |

Amend H.J.R. No. 6 as follows:

- (1) On page 3, line 11, insert in between "1989" and the semi-colon, the following: "[135 Cong. Rec. H836, S3509-10]".
- (2) On page 3, line 11, remove the word "and".
- (3) On page 3, line 12, remove the period and substitute in lieu thereof a semi-colon.
- (4) On page 3, insert in between the existing lines 12 and 13, the following: "Nevada on April 26, 1989; Alaska on May 5, 1989; Oregon on May 19, 1989; and Minnesota on May 22, 1989."
- (5) On page 3, lines 13 through 21, substitute a revised SECTION 3 to read as follows:

"SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January 26, 1987 and again on February 3, 1989; the House of Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; and the Senate of the State of Michigan on March 15, 1989."

*f.*5

AMEND THE CAPTION TO CONFORM TO THE BODY OF THE BILL

ADOPTED MAY 24 1989



# LEGISLATIVE BUDGET BOARD

Austin, Texas

# FISCAL NOTE

April 11, 1989

TO:

Honorable John T. Montford, Chairman

Committee on State Affairs

Senate Chamber Austin, Texas

In Re: House Joint

Resolution No. 6,

And Is the World

as engrossed By: Williamson

FROM: Jim Oliver, Director

In response to your request for a Fiscal Note on House Joint Resolution No. 6, as engrossed (ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect) is office has determined the following:

The resolution would ratify a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States.

No fiscal implication to the State or units of local government is anticipated.

Source: Secretary of State;

LBB Staff: JO, JWH, AL, KVO, CKM

71FHJR6ae

71FHJR6



### A JOINT RESOLUTION

- 1 ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United
- 2 States relative to the compensation of members of the United States
- 3 Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.
- 4 BE IT RESOLVED BY THE LEGISLATURE OF THE STATE OF TEXAS:
- 5 SECTION 1. The Legislature of the State of Texas, pursuant
- 6 to Article V of the United States Constitution, does hereby ratify
- 7 an amendment to the Constitution of the United States proposed by
- 8 resolution of the First Congress of the United States in New York,
- 9 New York, on September 25, 1789, which reads as follows, to wit:
- "RESOLVED by the Senate and House of Representatives of the
- 11 United States of America in Congress assembled, two thirds of both
- 12 Houses concurring, that the following [Article] be proposed to the
- 13 Legislatures of the several States, . . . which [Article], when
- 14 ratified by three fourths of the said Legislatures, to be valid to
- 15 all intents and purposes, as part of the said Constitution, viz.:
- "[An ARTICLE] in addition to, and Amendment of the
- 17 Constitution of the United States of America, proposed by Congress,
- and ratified by the Legislatures of the several States, pursuant to
- 19 the fifth Article of the original Constitution.
- 20 "Article the second . . . No law, varying the compensation
- 21 for the services of the Senators and Representatives, shall take
- 22 effect, until an election of Representatives shall have
- 23 intervened."
- 24 SECTION 2. The Legislature of the State of Texas

```
1
      acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to
                                                                     the
 2
      United States Constitution has already been ratified by
      legislatures of the following states on the dates indicated,
 3
                                                                       to
 4
      wit:
 5
            Maryland on December 19, 1789;
 6
            North Carolina on December 22, 1789;
 7
            South Carolina on January 19, 1790;
 8
            Delaware on January 28, 1790;
 9
            Vermont on November 3, 1791;
10
            Virginia on December 15, 1791;
            Ohio on May 6, 1873 [70 Ohio Laws 409-10];
11
            Wyoming on March 3, 1978 [124 Cong. Rec. 7910; 133 Cong. Rec.
12
      S12949];
13
14
            Maine on April 27, 1983 [130 Cong. Rec. H9097, S11017];
            Colorado on April 18, 1984 [131 Cong. Rec. S17687; 132 Cong.
15
16
      Rec. H6446];
17
            South Dakota on February 21, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec.
18
      S33061;
19
           New Hampshire on March 7, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H1378, S3597];
20
            Arizona on April 3, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H2060, S4750];
21
            Tennessee on May 23, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H6672, S10797,
22
      S13504];
            Oklahoma on July 10, 1985 [131 Cong. Rec. H7263, S13504];
23
           New Mexico on February 13, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H827,
24
25
      S2207-8, S2300];
26
            Indiana on February 19, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. H1634, S4663];
```

Utah on February 25, 1986 [132 Cong. Rec. S6750, S7578; 133

27

```
1
      Cong. Rec. H9866];
            Arkansas on March 5, 1987 [134 Cong. Rec. H3721, S7518];
 2
 3
            Montana on March 11, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H1715, S6155];
            Connecticut on May 13, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S11891];
            Wisconsin on June 30, 1987 [133 Cong. Rec. H7406, S12948,
 5
      S13359];
 6
            Georgia on February 2, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2638, S5239];
 7
 8
            West Virginia on March 10,
                                           1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H2492,
 9
      S47841;
            Louisiana on July 6, 1988 [134 Cong. Rec. H5783, S9939];
10
11
            Iowa on February 7, 1989 [135 Cong. Rec. H836, S3509-10];
12
            Idaho on March 23, 1989;
           Nevada on April 26, 1989;
13
14
           Alaska on May 5, 1989;
15
           Oregon on May 19, 1989; and
16
           Minnesota on May 22, 1989.
17
           SECTION 3. The Legislature of the State of Texas further
18
      acknowledges that measures to ratify the proposed amendment to the
     Constitution of the United States have recently been adopted by the
19
20
     House of Representatives of the State of North Dakota on January
21
     26,
            1987,
                   and
                         again on February 3, 1989; the House of
22
     Representatives of the State of Illinois on June 22, 1988; and the
23
     Senate of the State of Michigan on March 15, 1989.
24
           SECTION 4.
                        The Legislature of the State of Texas further
25
      acknowledges that the above-quoted article of amendment to
26
     United States Constitution may still be ratified by the state
27
```

legislatures as a result of the ruling by the United States Supreme

H.J.R. No. 6

- 1 Court in the landmark case of Coleman v. Miller [307 U.S. 433
- 2 (1939)] in which it was opined that Congress is the final arbiter
- 3 on the question of whether too much time has elapsed between the
- 4 original submission of a particular amendment proposed by the
- 5 Congress and the most recent state legislature's ratification of
- 6 same if the Congress, in proposing the amendment, did not specify a
- 7 deadline on the amendment's consideration.
- 8 SECTION 5. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 9 shall notify the archivist of the United States (pursuant to 1
- 10 U.S.C. 106b and 112; as amended by Public Law No. 98-497 [98 Stat.
- 2291]) of the action of the 71st Legislature of the State of Texas,
- 12 Regular Session, 1989, by sending to him a copy of this resolution.
- SECTION 6. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 14 shall also send copies of this resolution to both United States
- 15 senators from Texas, to all United States representatives from
- 16 Texas, to the vice-president of the United States, and to the
- 17 speaker of the United States House of Representatives with the
- request that it be printed in full in the Congressional Record.
- 19 SECTION 7. The secretary of state of the State of Texas
- 20 shall also send copies of this resolution to the presiding officers
- 21 of the legislatures of those states that have not as yet ratified
- 22 the proposed amendment to the United States Constitution.

H.J.R. No. 6

| President of the Senate          | Speaker of the House                 |
|----------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| I certify that H.J.R. No.        | . 6 was passed by the House on April |
| 4, 1989, by the following vote:  | Yeas 131, Nays 2, 1 present, not     |
| voting; and that the House concu | arred in Senate amendments to H.J.R. |
| No. 6 on May 25, 1989, by the f  | following vote: Yeas 145, Nays 0, 2  |
| present, not voting.             |                                      |
|                                  |                                      |
|                                  |                                      |
|                                  | Chief Clerk of the House             |
| I certify that H.J.R. No.        | 6 was passed by the Senate, with     |
| amendments, on May 24, 1989, by  | the following vote: Yeas 30, Nays    |
| 0.                               |                                      |
|                                  |                                      |
|                                  |                                      |
|                                  | Secretary of the Senate              |
|                                  |                                      |
| RECEIVED:                        | -                                    |
| Date                             |                                      |
|                                  |                                      |
| •                                | -                                    |
| Secretary of State               |                                      |

| President of the Senate                               | Speaker of the House                            |
|---|---|
| I certify that H.J.R. No.                             | $\frac{6}{(1)}$ was passed by the House         |
| onApril 4   | 1989, by the following vote:  t not voting  (4) |
| Yeas 131, Nays 2, 1preser                             | it, not voting                                  |
|   | Senate amendments to H.J.R. No. 6               |
| on <u>May 25</u>                                      | , 1989, by the following                        |
| vote: Yeas $\frac{145}{(6)}$ , Nays $\frac{6}{0}$ , 2 | present, nor voting.                            |
|   | Chief Clerk of the House                        |
| **** Preparation: 'A;CT20;                            |   |
| I certify that H.J.R. No.                             | was passed by the Senate, with                  |
| amendments, on(2                                      | $\frac{May 24}{2}$ , 1989, by the following     |
| vote: Yeas 30, Nays 0                                 |   |
|   | (4)   |
|   | Secretary of the Senate                         |
| RECEIVED:   |   |
| Date  |   |
| Secretary of State                                    |   |

\*\*\*\* Preparation: 'A;CT22;

| ľ     |        | <br>1 |
|-------|--------|-------|
| H. J. | R. No. | 0     |

By Williamson

#### HOUSE JOINT RESOLUTION

ratifying a proposed amendment to the Constitution of the United States relative to the compensation of Members of the United States Congress and when any variations therein shall take effect.

NNV 1 4 1988 1. Filed with the Chief Clerk. o JAN 23 1989 2. Read first time and referred to Committee on MAR 21 1989 , and sent to Printer at \_\_\_ \_\_\_ 3. Reported favorably MAR 22 1989 4. Printed and distributed at 8:10pm MAR 2 3 1989 5. Sent to Committee on Calendars at 11:14 am 6. Read second time (amended) and (finally) passed to Third Reading by a Record Vote . 7. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H.J.R. \_\_\_\_\_ was ordered engrossed prevailed (failed) by (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, \_\_\_\_ present, not voting). 8. Read third time (amended) and finally adopted (failed of adoption) by a Record Vote \_\_\_\_\_ yeas, \_\_\_\_\_ nays, \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting.

9. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of resolution.

. 10. Motion to reconsider and table the vote by which H.J.R.

yeas, \_\_\_\_\_nays, and \_\_\_\_\_ present, not voting).

adopted prevailed (failed) by a (Non-Record Vote) Record Vote of

| APR 4 1989   | 11. Ordered Engrossed at 11:53am   |
|--------------|--|
| APR 4 1989   | 12. Engrossed.   |
| APR 4 1989   | 13. Returned to Chief Clerk at 4:42pm  |
| APR 5 1989   | 14. Sent to the Senate.  Betty Mussey  |
| APR 5 100    | Chief Clerk of the House   |
| APR 6 1989   | 16. Read, referred to Committee on   |
| MAY 1 1 1989 | 17. Reported favorably   |
|              | 18. Reported adversely, with favorable Committee Substitute; Committee Substitute read first time.     |
|              | 19. Ordered not printed.   |
| MAY 2 4 1989 | 20. Regular order of business suspended by  (a viva voce vote.) (                                      |
|              | 21. To permit consideration, reading and passage, Senate and Constitutional Rules suspended by vote of |
| MAY 2 4 1989 | 22. Read second time weekly passed to third reading by:  (a viva voce vote.)  yeas,                    |

|               | 23. Caption ordered amended to conform to body of bill.  |  |
|---------------|--|--|
| MAY 2 4 1989  | 24. Senate and Constitutional 3-Day Rules suspended by vote of yeas, nays to place bill on third reading and final passage.                          |  |
| MAY 2 4 1989  | 25. Read third time and passed by  (a viva voce vote:)  ( 30 yeas, 0 nays.)  |  |
| OTHER ACTION: | OTHER ACTION:  Secretary of the Senate   |  |
| May 24, 1989  | 26. Returned to the House.   |  |
| MAV 2 4 1980  | 27. Received from the Senate (with amendments.)  |  |
| MAY 2 5 1992  | 28. House (Concurred) (Refused to Concur) in Senate (Amendments) by a (Non-Record (Substitute) by a (Non-Record (Substitute) 2 present, not voting). |  |
|               | 29. Conference Committee Ordered.  |  |
|               | 30. Conference Committee Report Adopted (Rejected) by a (Non-Record Vote) (Record Vote of yeas, nays, and present, not voting).                      |  |
| MAY 2 5 1989  | 31. Ordered Enrolled at 4:25 pm  |  |

COMMENT TO MISS THE SERVICE

CS APR -1: PR 1: 52

ENTERTAIN 2007 OF \$85 M.

05 MM 22 FIL 0: 10

N A

η